

HCA Healthcare

Scholarly Commons

North Texas Research Forum 2023

HCA Healthcare Research Days 2023

4-28-2023

Lesion Size Inclusion on Dermatopathology Requisition Forms: a Quality Improvement Project

Christopher M. Wong

HCA Healthcare, Christopher.Wong@medicalcityhealth.com

Henry Lim

Christian Scheufele

HCA Healthcare, christian.scheufele@medicalcityhealth.com

Daniel A. Nguyen

HCA Healthcare, danielnguyen323@gmail.com

Ashleigh E. Hermann

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.hcahealthcare.com/northtexas2023>



Part of the [Dermatology Commons](#), [Pathology Commons](#), [Quality Improvement Commons](#), and the [Skin and Connective Tissue Diseases Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Wong, Christopher M.; Lim, Henry; Scheufele, Christian; Nguyen, Daniel A.; Hermann, Ashleigh E.; Carletti, Michael; and Weis, Stephen, "Lesion Size Inclusion on Dermatopathology Requisition Forms: a Quality Improvement Project" (2023). *North Texas Research Forum 2023*. 35.

<https://scholarlycommons.hcahealthcare.com/northtexas2023/35>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the HCA Healthcare Research Days 2023 at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Texas Research Forum 2023 by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact lindsay.million@hcahealthcare.com.

Authors

Christopher M. Wong, Henry Lim, Christian Scheufele, Daniel A. Nguyen, Ashleigh E. Hermann, Michael Carletti, and Stephen Weis

Lesion Size Inclusion on Dermatopathology Requisition Forms: A Quality Improvement Project

Christopher M. Wong, DO,^{1,2} Henry Lim, OMS4,^{1,2} Christian Scheufele, DO,^{1,2} Daniel A. Nguyen, DO,^{1,2} Ashleigh Hermann, DO,³ Michael Carletti, DO,^{1,2} Stephen E. Weis, DO^{1,2}

¹Dermatology Residency Program, HCA Medical City Fort Worth, Fort Worth, TX

²University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX

³Baylor Scott & White Health, Waco, TX

Introduction

- Requisition forms (RFs) are the primary communication tool from clinicians to pathologists
 - Dermatopathology RFs → dermatologist to dermatopathologist
- RFs provide demographic and clinical information
 - Allows for generation of a relevant and appropriate specific and/or differential diagnosis



COCKERELL
DERMATOPATHOLOGY
Reports Online - Requested Service
CLAY J. COCKERELL, MD
Medical Director
2175 RESEARCH ROW SUITE 100
DALLAS, TX 75229
TEL: (214) 399-0200
TEL: (800) 444-0000
FAX: (214) 399-0000
WWW: www.cockereill.com
WWW: www.dermatowh.com

DERMATOPATHOLOGY REQUISITION

EXEMPT: DAY NIGHT WEEKEND OTHER (NOTE)

ADDRESS: STREET/PO BOX: CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: CALL FOR PROFESSIONAL FEE(S):

SEX: M F AGE: OFFICE ATTENDING:

CLINICAL BIOPSY OTHER PREVIOUS BIOPSY AND DIAGNOSIS:

| SPOT/NO. SITE | NO. TYPE | Clinical Impression / Request / Les. Name | Request | FOR LAB USE ONLY |
|---------------|---|---|----------|------------------|
| A | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |
| B | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |
| C | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |
| D | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |
| E | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |
| F | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOPSY <input type="checkbox"/> FUNGUS <input type="checkbox"/> EXCISION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER | | CLINICAL | |

BILLING METHOD / INSURANCE INFORMATION

BILLING METHOD: MEDICARE MEDICAID PRIVATE OTHER

PRIMARY INSURANCE

INSURANCE NAME: MEDICARE MEDICAID PRIVATE OTHER

INSURANCE TYPE: _____

INSURANCE NUMBER: _____

INSURANCE ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP CODE: _____

EMPLOYER NAME: _____

EMPLOYER ADDRESS: _____

EMPLOYER CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP CODE: _____

INSURANCE # 1: _____ MEMBER ID: _____ GROUP/CONTRACT # _____

INSURANCE # 2: _____ MEMBER ID: _____ GROUP/CONTRACT # _____

INSURANCE # 3: _____ MEMBER ID: _____ GROUP/CONTRACT # _____

INSURANCE # 4: _____ MEMBER ID: _____ GROUP/CONTRACT # _____

COCKERELL, INC.

This research was supported (in whole or in part) by HCA Healthcare and/or an HCA Healthcare affiliated entity. The views expressed in this publication represent those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of HCA Healthcare or any of its affiliated entities.

Introduction


- Dermatopathologists viewed their role as more than providing pertinent histopathologic findings and specific diagnoses [Comfere]
 - 90% viewed medical decision-making guidance as part of their role
- Inclusion of detailed information improves diagnostic accuracy of the reading dermatopathologist [Stevenson]

Introduction

- Previous study found that diameter of pigmented lesions was provided on RFs 22% of the time [Waller]
 - Authors of study classified lesion diameter as “the most useful of the ABCDE criteria”
 - Histologic features must be interpreted within the context of the size of the lesion
 - “ie, a limited amount of intraepidermal scatter of melanocytes may potentially be weighted differently in a 3-mm well-circumscribed lesion vs a 1.3-cm lesion”
- Dermatopathologists rely on clinical information reported on RF [Cockerell]
 - As much information as is reasonably possible should be reported
 - “If the specimen is a pigmented lesion, it should be described by its diameter”

Introduction

- Importance of lesion size is not limited to pigmented lesions
- NCCN guidelines for basal cell carcinoma also emphasize the clinical diameter of the lesion to be submitted on the biopsy requisition



NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network® **NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2022 Basal Cell Skin Cancer** [NCCN Guidelines Index](#) [Table of Contents](#) [Discussion](#)

PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGY

Principles of Biopsy Reporting:


- Pathologic evaluation of skin biopsies is ideally performed by a dermatologist, pathologist, or dermatopathologist who is experienced in interpreting cutaneous neoplasms.
- Clinical information to be submitted on biopsy requisition includes patient demographics, **clinical diameter of lesion**, anatomic location, and prior treatment of lesion. Additional helpful features to include are immunosuppression and history of RT.
- Pathologic report should include histologic subtype¹ and presence of any features that would increase the risk for local recurrence, including invasion of tumor beyond reticular dermis and presence of perineural invasion (if involving nerve below the dermis or >0.1 mm in caliber).²

National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Basal Cell Skin Cancer (2.2022).
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nmsc.pdf. Accessed 2022 Jun 2.

This research was supported (in whole or in part) by HCA Healthcare and/or an HCA Healthcare affiliated entity. The views expressed in this publication represent those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of HCA Healthcare or any of its affiliated entities.

Introduction

- What information do **clinicians** consider important to include on RFs?
 - 1. Location
 - 2. Differential diagnosis
 - 3. Size
- Approx. 65% of dermatologists considered size of lesion important to include on RF
 - The same proportion frequently include lesion size on the RF



Chismar LA, Umanoff N, Murphy B, Viola KV, Amin B. The dermatopathology requisition form: Attitudes and practices of dermatologists. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015;72(2):353-355. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2014.10.021

This research was supported (in whole or in part) by HCA Healthcare and/or an HCA Healthcare affiliated entity. The views expressed in this publication represent those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of HCA Healthcare or any of its affiliated entities.

Introduction

- The importance of lesion size reporting led some authors to modify the RF to emphasize its inclusion

| SPECIMEN TYPE (CIRCLE ONE) | FINDINGS & INSTRUCTIONS (USE EXTRA SHEETS FOR ADDITIONAL SPECIMENS) | |
|---|---|---|
| SPECIMEN A: PUNCH BX SHAVE BX SNIP BX INCISIONAL BX EXCISIONAL BX CHECK MARGINS ALOPECIA SECTIONS SLIDE CONSULT DIF (Circle One) INVOLVED SKIN UNINVOLVED SKIN INDIRECT IF (SERUM REQUIRED) | SITE: | CLINICAL FINDINGS AND DIAGNOSIS: SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: |
| SPECIMEN TYPE (CIRCLE ONE) | FINDINGS & INSTRUCTIONS (USE EXTRA SHEETS FOR ADDITIONAL SPECIMENS) | |
| SPECIMEN A: PUNCH BX SHAVE BX SNIP BX INCISIONAL BX EXCISIONAL BX CHECK MARGINS ALOPECIA SECTIONS SLIDE CONSULT DIF (Circle One) INVOLVED SKIN UNINVOLVED SKIN INDIRECT IF (SERUM REQUIRED) | SITE: LESION SIZE: mm cm | CLINICAL FINDINGS AND DIAGNOSIS: PARTIAL BX OF LARGER LESION? YES [] NO [] SITE OF PRIOR BX/THERAPY/TRAUMA? YES [] NO [] |


Waller JM, Zedek DC. How informative are dermatopathology requisition forms completed by dermatologists? A review of the clinical information provided for 100 consecutive melanocytic lesions. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2010;62(2):257-261. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2009.06.049

Problem Statement

Lesion diameter is inconsistently reported on RFs, limiting the consulting dermatopathologists' ability to provide an accurate diagnosis and recommendations for further management.

This is standard of care that is recommended by numerous guidelines in dermatology and dermatopathology.

Root Cause Analysis




This research was supported (in whole or in part) by HCA Healthcare and/or an HCA Healthcare affiliated entity. The views expressed in this publication represent those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of HCA Healthcare or any of its affiliated entities.

Methods

- Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Framework
- **Objective:** increase the rate of reporting diameters of neoplasms on RFs to **greater than 65%** from February to June of the 2021-2022 academic year.

Old Process Map




Plan

- 839 skin biopsies recorded from July 1, 2021 - February 4, 2022
 - 68 specimens excluded due to inability to access RF
 - 177 removed from analysis due to technique used to obtain the specimen
 - 67 skin biopsies obtained by surgical excision
 - 110 samples taken from eruptions as opposed to neoplasms
- 594 samples met criteria for analysis
 - Specimens obtained from neoplasms with an accessible RF
 - Of these 594, 49 samples included lesion diameter on the RF
- Final reporting rate was $49/594 = 8.25\%$

Do

- A journal club was held to systematically review dermatology and dermatopathology practice guidelines regarding reporting of lesion diameters on RFs.
- One primary faculty and all residents were in attendance and participated in the activity. These clinicians comprised of the intervention group.
- One primary faculty was not in attendance. This clinician served as a control group for comparison.

New Process Map



Study

- 567 skin biopsies recorded from February 5, 2022 - June 14, 2022
 - 3 specimens excluded due to inability to access RFs
 - 1 specimen excluded due to no clinical impression on RF
 - 103 removed from analysis due to technique used to obtain the specimen
 - 37 skin biopsies obtained by surgical excision
 - 66 samples taken from eruptions as opposed to neoplasms

Study

- 567 skin biopsies recorded from February 5, 2022 - June 14, 2022
 - Control group: 289 samples met criteria for analysis
 - Of these 289, 5 samples included lesion diameter on the RF
 - Final reporting rate for control group is $5/289 = 1.73\%$
 - Intervention group: 171 samples met criteria for analysis
 - Of these 171, 85 samples included lesion diameter on the RF
 - Final reporting rate for intervention group is $85/171 = 49.71\%$

Study

- Chi-squared analysis
 - Between retrospective cohort and intervention group
 - $p < .00001$
 - Between control group and intervention group
 - $p < .00001$

Act

- All clinicians surveyed at the end of the study period
- Reasons for not reporting the lesion diameter on the RF
 - Time constraints during the office visit
 - Lack of a reminder on the RF
- Plan to incorporate stamp to add space on RF to include lesion diameter
 - Reminds clinicians to include this vital information prior to RF submission

Limitations

- Classification of lesions during data collection
 - Determination of neoplasm vs. eruption was made by a single dermatology resident from clinical impressions recorded on RF
 - May mimic real-life experience for dermatopathologist, as the RF is all the clinical information they may receive
- Miscategorization of data to control vs. intervention group
 - Limited, but some, crossover between control and intervention groups due to scheduling of staff
- Lack of complete data set
 - May be underpowered as some RFs were not accessible

Future Directions

- Completion of next PDSA cycle
 - Assess long-term adherence of size reporting status-post intervention
 - Utilization of stamps on RFs to remind clinicians to report lesion diameter
- Quantified or qualified data from dermatopathologists who receiving RFs with and without lesion size
 - Determination of effect on clinical outcomes
- Impact of EMR access on clinician-pathologist communication
 - Use of clinicians' EMRs by pathologists may enhance access to clinical information
 - Increasingly, RFs are generated by EMRs, resulting in incomplete RFs that fail to provide vital clinical information to the reading dermatopathologist

References

- Cockerell CJ. How can dermatologists help dermatopathologists work “smarter” for them? *Cutis*. 2015;95(4):190-191.
- Comfere NI, Peters MS, Jenkins S, Lackore K, Yost K, Tilburt J. Dermatopathologists’ concerns and challenges with clinical information in the skin biopsy requisition form: a mixed-methods study: Clinical information in dermatopathology. *J Cutan Pathol*. 2015;42(5):333-345. doi:10.1111/cup.12485
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Basal Cell Skin Cancer (2.2022). https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nmsc.pdf. Accessed 2022 Jun 2.
- Stevenson P, Rodins K. Improving diagnostic accuracy of skin biopsies. *Aust J Gen Pract*. 2018;47(4):216-220. doi:10.31128/AFP-10-17-4376
- Waller JM, Zedek DC. How informative are dermatopathology requisition forms completed by dermatologists? A review of the clinical information provided for 100 consecutive melanocytic lesions. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2010;62(2):257-261. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2009.06.049

Thank you!

- Christopher Wong, DO
Christopher.Wong@MedicalCityHealth.com
- Acknowledgements:
 - Samantha Kim, RPh, PharmD, BCPS
 - Stephen E. Weis, DO and Michael Carletti, DO
 - Michele L. McCarroll, PhD
 - Clay J. Cockerell, MD, Gregory Hosler, MD, PhD, and Thomas L. Davis, MD
 - Christian Scheufele, DO and Daniel A. Nguyen, DO