Deficiency in Detail Among Ventral Hernia Repair Operative Notes and The Impact on Patient Outcomes

Alexys N. Ramos DO, Duyen Quach MD, Kayla Nguyen MD, Said Maldonado BS, Nicole B. Lyons MD, Jonathan S. Lall BS, Scott Zimmerle MBA, Brendan Rosamond BS, Ashlynn Mills BS, Yoolim Alex Seo BS, Angelica C. Rodriguez BS, Zuhair Ali MD, Mike K. Liang MD

Healthcare*



Background

- Ventral hernia repairs (VHR) are one of the most common surgical procedures in the US with roughly 600,000 cases annually.
- Operative Notes are the definitive method of obtaining information regarding the details of a surgery and are critical in the postoperative care plan.
- Previous work has shown that ventral hernia repair operative reports are poorly-detailed, however, the relationship between operative report detail and patient outcomes is unknown.
- Key details includes hernia type, hernia recurrency history, previous mesh information, preoperative reducibility, operative method, fascial defect size, hernia sac removal detailed, hernia contents, documentation of component separation, mesh inclusion information, mesh location, mesh fixation method, primary fascial closure detail, suture type, and skin flap information.

Objective

- This study aims to evaluate the prevalence of highly-detailed VHR operative reports, identify factors associated with operative report detail, and assess if there is an association between operative report detail and postoperative outcomes
- It is hypothesized that less than 50% of operative reports will be highly-detailed and that highly-detailed reports are associated with improved clinical outcomes

Methods

Multi-institutional, retrospective, cross-sectional observation review of operative reports describing VHR was performed

1,011 VHR
operative reports
were reviewed
from 693 surgeons
across 517 facilites
in 50 states

Reports that had complete author demographic data were included

Reports that described other types of hernia repair or had missing data were excluded

Highly-detailed operative reports were defined as reports that included atleast 70% of the recommended details

Data extracted: demographic data, medical history, surgical history, operative hernia details and clinical outcome.

Results

Table 1. Association of Operative Report Quality and Postoperative Outcomes

Outcome	Total (n=1011)	Poorly- Detailed (n=647)	Highly- Detailed	P- value
Surgical site infection	113 (11.2%)	86 (13.3%)	27 (7.4%)	0.004
Readmission	734 (72.6%)	509 (78.7%)	225 (61.6%)	<0.00
Recurrence	628 (62.1%)	428 (66%)	200 (54.9%)	<0.00
Reoperation	739 (73.1%)	513 (79%)	226 (62.1%)	<0.00
Death	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	1(0.3%)	0.170

Table 2. Full Regression with Each Outcome Included

Outcome	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value
Surgical site infection	0.268	0.14-0.50	<0.001
Readmission	0.553	0.38-0.80	0.002
Recurrence	0.802	0.57-1.13	0.212
Reoperation	0.506	0.35-0.74	<0.001
Death	1.188	0.07-19.58	0.904

Discussion

- In this multi-institutional study of over 1000 VHR operative reports, there was a substantial deficiency in the level of detail within them.
- Most operative reports failed to report at least 70% of recommended information.
- Patients with poorly-detailed operative reports had worse clinical outcomes including significantly more surgical site infections, hospital readmissions, and reoperations.
- As development and subsequent implementation of templated operative reports becomes more common across the healthcare industry, it is imperative that these templates and standards be developed with an adequate amount of detail and quality.

Conclusion

Highly-detailed operative reports were associated with lower rates of recurrence, reoperations, readmissions, and SSIs. These findings favor the development/implementation of a standardized operative report template for ventral hernia repair

References

- 1. Harris HW, Primus F, Young C, et al. Preventing Recurrence in Clean and Contaminated Hernias Using Biologic Versus Synthetic Mesh in Ventral Hernia Repair: The PRICE Randomized Clinical Trial. *Ann Surg.* 2021;273(4):648-655. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000000004336
 2. Poulose BK, Shelton J, Phillips S, et al. Epidemiology and cost of ventral hernia repair: making the case for hernia research. *Hernia.* 2012;16(2):179-183. doi:10.1007/s10029-011-0879-9
- 3. Schlosser KA, Renshaw SM, Tamer RM, Strassels SA, Poulose BK. Ventral hernia repair: an increasing burden affecting abdominal core health. Hernia J Hernias Abdom Wall Surg. Published online
- December 26, 2022. doi:10.1007/s10029-022-02707-6

 4. Sittig DF, Singh H. Electronic Health Records and National Patient-Safety Goals. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(19):1854-1860. doi:10.1056/NEJMsb1205420
- 5. Hoggett L, Wright A, Wilson J. How to write an operation note. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j355. doi:10.1136/bmj.j355
- 6. Ma GW, Pooni A, Forbes SS, et al. Quality of inguinal hernia operative reports: room for improvement. *Can J Surg J Can Chir*. 2013;56(6):393-397. doi:10.1503/cjs.017412
- 7. Verhovshek J. Operative Note Documentation Basics. AAPC Knowledge Center. Published December 22, 2015. Accessed February 4, 2023. https://www.aapc.com/blog/33092-operative-note-basics/
 8. Operative and High Risk Procedure Reports Timeframe of Dictation or Written | Office Based Surgery | Record of Care Treatment and Services RC | The Joint Commission. Accessed February 4,
- 2023. https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/standard-faqs/office-based-surgery/record-of-care-treatment-and-services-rc/000001698/
 9. Hieken TJ, Burns WR, Francescatti AB, Morris AM, Wong SL, Cancer Surgery Standards Program of the American College of Surgeons. Technical Standards for Cancer Surgery: Improving Patient
- Care through Synoptic Operative Reporting. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2022;29(11):6526-6533. doi:10.1245/s10434-022-11330-9

 10. Buchanan J, McCombie A, Connor S, Eglinton T. Improving operative documentation in colorectal cancer surgery: synoptic notes pave the way forward. *ANZ J Surg.* 2022;92(7-8):1754-1759.
- doi:10.1111/ans.17643

 11. Delaney LD, Lindquist KM, Howard R, et al. Implementation of a synoptic operative note for abdominal wall hernia repair: a statewide pilot evaluating completeness and communication of
- 13. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ*. 2007;335(7624):806-808. doi:10.1136/bmj.39335.541782.AD
- 14. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, 1999. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *Am J Infect Control*. 1999;27(2):97-132; quiz 133-134; discussion 96.
- 15. Kanters AE, Vu JV, Schuman AD, et al. Completeness of operative reports for rectal cancer surgery. Am J Surg. 2020;220(1):165-169. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2019.09.036
- 16. Stogryn S, Hardy KM, Abou-Setta AM, Clouston KM, Metcalfe J, Vergis AS. Advancement in the quality of operative documentation: A systematic review and meta-analysis of synoptic versus narrative operative reporting. *Am J Surg*. 2019;218(3):624-630. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2019.05.003
- 17. Sluijter CE, van Lonkhuijzen LRCW, van Slooten HJ, Nagtegaal ID, Overbeek LIH. The effects of implementing synoptic pathology reporting in cancer diagnosis: a systematic review. Virchows
- Arch. 2016;468:639-649. doi:10.1007/s00428-016-1935-8
 18. Becerra AZ, Berho ME, Probst CP, et al. Variation in Hospital-Specific Rates of Suboptimal Lymphadenectomy and Survival in Colon Cancer: Evidence from the National Cancer Data Base. Ann
- Surg Oncol. 2016;23(Suppl 5):674-683. doi:10.1245/s10434-016-5551-2
 19. Hill AB. The Environment and Disease: Association or Causation? Proc R Soc Med. 1965;58(5):295-300.

