Conservative Management of Low Back Pain
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Abstract

Low back pain (LBP) is frequently encountered in outpatient clinics and affects individual patients and society in general with decreased physical function, quality of life, disability, and/or economic burden. Although most acute LBP resolves on its own, recurrent and chronic LBP is a common reason for outpatient clinic visits. This review explores pragmatic approaches to LBP in the clinical setting, focusing on recognizing prognostic factors, initial approaches to evaluation, including selective imaging, and conservative management including patient education, therapeutic exercises and bracing.
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Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is very common, affecting up to 80% (14–85% depending on definition) of the population during their lifetime in the Western world.1 It remains one of the most common reasons for a physician visit in developed countries.2 In general, the peak incidence of LBP occurs in the third decade, and overall prevalence increases until 60–65 years of age, without specific gender preference.3 LBP also has substantial societal implications. It is the most common cause of work-related disability in those younger than 45 years of age and the economic burden of LBP in the United States ranges from 84.1 billion to 624.8 billion USD, with lost work productivity being the primary driver.4 In 2010, it was the second most common cause of adult disability after arthritis and rheumatism.5 Chronic low back pain (CLBP) affects quality of life adversely in physical function, sleep and psychological well-being including mood and self-perception.6

LBP management has been extensively studied considering the aforementioned impacts on the individual patient and the community. However, there is still a gap between this information and its applications in clinical practice, particularly in the area of detailing conservative (non-invasive) management. As surgeries and interventional therapies are not recommended in most patients with acute LBP and limited in their role in most CLBP, it is important for primary care physicians (PCPs) to know the details of non-invasive treatment.7 In this article, we will explore a pragmatic approach to both acute and chronic LBP management with a focus on non-invasive management from the perspective of a PCP.8

Initial Evaluation: History, Physical Examination, Assessment, Classification and Prognostic Indicators

The low back is typically defined as the area between the lower rib margins and the buttck creases, and LBP arises from a variety of heterogenous pathologies in this region. The location, quality/characteristics, intensity and duration of pain, preceding event or trauma, aggravating or relieving factors and associated symptoms, and medical/surgical histories
should be obtained at the initial evaluation. Red flags, defined as clinical features believed to increase the risk of a serious disease, can be identified in either the history of present illness or in the past medical history.\(^9\) The list of symptoms to look for in the history is extensive, including significant trauma, pain increased or unrelieved by rest, pain that worsens at night, unexplained weight loss, fever, associated neurological deficits, bowel or bladder incontinence and/or urinary retention. Conditions associated with these red flags include cancer, immunosuppressive states (e.g., prolonged steroid use), intravenous drug use, recent urinary tract infection, inflammatory arthritis, osteoporosis and/or recent surgery.\(^9\)

In addition to red flags, PCPs can evaluate yellow flags, defined as psychological factors and maladaptive pain coping behaviors, such as fear avoidance and catastrophizing (negative thoughts, statements about the future). Other yellow flags include low mood, job dissatisfaction and ongoing litigation.\(^11\) Knowledge of yellow flags during the evaluation can be important in the early engagement of psychological and behavioral interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and mindfulness.\(^12\) Presence of yellow flags can be related to prolonged or delayed recovery. Conversely, low levels of fear avoidance and low baseline functional impairment are associated with favorable recovery.\(^13\)

Pain intensity and accompanying pain in the leg or at multiple body sites were identified as predictors of disabling CLBP.\(^9\) In addition, pain intensity measured by a numeric rating scale from 0 to 10 or visual analogue scale on a 100 mm horizontal line can be useful for a baseline measure before any intervention. Reviewing functional level such as limitations in activities of daily living can be useful not only for prognostication, but also for follow up of the interval change. The Oswestry Disability Index and the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire are widely available tools to evaluate functional limitations systematically. The Defense and Veterans Pain Rating Scale is a quick tool utilizing a numeric rating scale combined with easy functional descriptors.\(^14\)

Neurological and musculoskeletal examination including motor, sensory, deep tendon reflexes of lower extremities, straight leg raise test and other provocative tests for facet joint, sacroiliac joint and hip joint pathologies can be useful in addition to inspection (skin, bony deformity, muscle atrophy, asymmetry, leg length and posture), range of motion and palpation of bony and soft tissue structures in the region. Information systemically acquired by history and physical examination can be helpful for the classification of these heterogenous conditions.

During physical examination, PCPs can also look for Waddell's nonorganic signs. These are a group of physical examination findings made up of five physical signs, including the presence of superficial or nonanatomic tenderness, pain on axial loading or simulated rotation, non-reproducibility of pain when distracted, regional weakness or sensory change and overreaction. The presence of Waddell's nonorganic signs account for functional impairment, general health status and the presence of psychiatric comorbidities (depression, anxiety, stress).\(^13\) Waddell's signs represent exaggerated emotional and psychological symptoms and somatic over-reporting; therefore, the evaluation can be helpful in a risk assessment of developing CLBP and somatic symptom amplification.\(^15\)

There are many classification systems available to categorize subgroups of LBP in order to optimize management and better communicate among the medical community. These systems can be divided into diagnostic classifications, prognostic classifications and treatment-based classifications. For diagnostic classification, the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD)-Clinical Modification (CM) codes are widely used in clinical practice for billing purposes, and these diagnostic codes are often shared between the health care providers. The Quebec Task Force Classification system, a widely used diagnostic classification system in clinical research,\(^16\) is based on the patient’s symptoms and can aid the clinician to plan further work-up and management. For example, if there is no leg pain, a straight leg raise test may not be helpful. Centralized (localized) LBP without leg pain or sensory/motor deficits is less likely to require a formal evaluation of radiculopathy with electromyography (EMG).\(^17\) Based on the duration of symptoms, LBP can be classified into acute LBP being defined as less than 4 weeks, subacute between 4 to 12
weeks, and CLBP as more than 12 weeks, which is an important prognostic factor. LBP can also be diagnostically classified based on the location of pathologies or underlying etiologies. Patho-anatomical diagnosis can be used to classify LBP by pathologies in intervertebral discs, sacroiliac joints, facet joints, bone, muscle, ligament, nerve roots, plexus and individual nerves. Classification based on the presence of psychosocial factors is an example of prognostic classification; the presence of high levels of maladaptive pain coping behaviors, high baseline functional impairment, psychiatric comorbidities, low general health status and/or non-organic signs is predictive of worse outcomes at 1 year after initial LBP. Interpreting the outcome of CLBP can be challenging, as there are a large number of different outcome measures and instruments used across the studies without a standardized approach.

In addition, the utility of universal application of red flag screening in predicting adverse outcomes or poor prognosis has been debated. Although red flags do not necessarily justify advanced imaging or other costly tests, they can aid the clinician in preparing for an atypical course of LBP and help guide the decision-making process.

A working diagnosis based on history, physical examination and available objective data can help the clinician plan the best management and evaluate if the initial intervention would be effective on follow-up. If a serious pathology is suspected, early or immediate diagnostic imaging should be considered. (Figure 1) Imaging workup can include x-ray, computerized tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) depending on availability and structure of interest. For example, x-ray is useful for screening (flexion/extension view for dynamic instability), MRI for soft tissue pathologies including disc, nervous structures, intra-

Figure 1. Initial assessment and plan for low back pain based on symptoms and signs. Modified from Lee, S.W., *Musculoskeletal Injuries and Conditions: Assessment and Management*. 2017, NY, Demos Medical. *Lumbar puncture is contraindicated in suspected spinal epidural abscess, increased intracranial pressure and bleeding diathesis.*
cortical bony pathologies and tissue changes, and lastly CT scan for bony details and subtle bony pathologies (or when MRI is not accessible).23 (Figure 1)

It is useful to be aware of proper imaging guidelines, for example, the Choosing Wisely® guidelines or American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain.24 Early imaging without red flags or other risk factors for serious pathologies is discouraged because of higher frequency of incidental findings (e.g., disc degeneration in 37% to 96% of asymptomatic 20- to 80-year-old individuals), which may result in unnecessary interventions such as injections and surgeries.25

**Management Based on Different Visits**

This review approaches the management of LBP based on each visit to the physician and focuses on the frequently utilized nonoperative management by PCPs. We provide a practical and efficient approach for this common and costly problem.

**Initial Visit**

**Management of Acute Low Back Pain Without Red Flags**

If a serious pathology is unlikely based on absence of red flags and clinical evaluation, education on the nature of pain, favorable course, self-management and continuing daily activities with minimal relative rest will be important for a favorable prognosis in patients with acute LBP, defined as less than 4 weeks of duration. Clinicians can focus on the symptomatic treatment of acute LBP and prevention of LBP recurrence. Superficial heating is a readily available modality and initially should be tried for 20 minutes twice daily with a hot pack or 8 hours per day with a heat wrap at home.18,26 A short course of NSAIDs (ibuprofen or naproxen) is recommended as the initial pharmacological intervention. Alternatively, acetaminophen can be used if NSAIDs are contraindicated.18 (Figure 2) Patients often report a trial of these over-the-counter medications at home without significant relief; however, the dose and frequency are often suboptimal. Therefore, it is worthwhile reviewing and prescribing NSAIDs with a therapeutic dose and frequency.27 A different class of NSAIDs or combination of NSAIDs and acetaminophen can be considered, unless contraindicated.28 Muscle relaxants can also be used for a short period with significant pain relief for acute LBP.29 Spinal manipulation and massage can also be considered initially for acute LBP if resources are available.18

**Management of Chronic Low Back Pain**

Patients with CLBP, defined as greater than 12 weeks of duration, can be a challenge to PCPs at an initial encounter. Sometimes, the patient has been in the care of other providers and has had some or even extensive work up and interventions. In this case, it is important to

---

**Figure 2.** Pharmacological management for acute and chronic low back pain.18

---

1st line (Acute and Chronic)
- Ibuprofen, up to 800 mg qid
- Naproxen, up to 500mg bid
- Diclofenac, up to 75mg bid
- Meloxicam up to 15mg qd
- Celecoxib up to 200mg bid

Acetaminophen alternatively

2nd line
- Acute: cyclobenzaprine (10mg tid)
- Tizadnidine (up to 24 mg/day)
- Chronic: duloxetine (up to 60mg bid)
- Tramadol (up to 100mg qid) for short term

3rd line
- Referral to multidisciplinary pain medicine
- Opioids (Codeine, hydrocodone, morphine, oxymorphone, hydromorphone, and tapentadol), buprenorphine
review if the prior assessment was appropriate and then find any missing information (e.g., red flags or yellow flags).

Setting realistic goals of pain management, to reduce pain rather than complete resolution, and addressing function to improve daily activities will be paramount to better patient compliance. It is important to remind the patient that mild pain should not warrant total rest. For nonspecific CLBP without red flags or focal neurological deficits, early referral to multidisciplinary rehabilitation, i.e., a physiatrist, will be efficacious for CLBP. If not accessible, encouragement of physical activity (walking and gentle stretching) and mindfulness-based stress reduction can be useful. For pharmacological treatment of CLBP, NSAIDs are the first-line therapy followed by duloxetine and tramadol as second-line therapy. Standing doses of NSAIDs are not recommended more than a week continuously as they can be related to acute kidney injury or gastrointestinal ulcers. It is important to explain that tramadol, an opioid agonist, can be associated with opioid-related adverse events including misuse and abuse. Anticonvulsants such as gabapentin, pregabalin and topiramate are increasingly utilized to treat low back pain and/or radiating leg pain, colloquially known as "sciatica". However, these medications lack high-quality evidence for efficacy, although the associated adverse effects are relatively mild. Another commonly prescribed medication for CLBP is muscle relaxants, although their efficacy in treating CLBP is not clear.

Home Exercise Program
A home exercise program is a long-term, effective and economic solution to improve LBP and has been shown to prevent recurrence. Specific exercises vary based on the individual patient. Common exercises include stretching/range of motion exercise, strengthening, endurance exercise of core muscles, balance exercise and functional activities. The details of these exercises are illustrated in Figure 3. The biggest challenge is adherence, which can vary from 30–84% depending on multiple factors such as age, motivation, pain level, complexity and number of exercises, supervision, follow-up, and communication with health care providers. To improve adherence, PCPs can review some exercises with the patient or a trained medical assistant can review them during the encounter. A few sessions of PT focusing on home exercise education can be an option as well.

Physical Therapy
In the context of interdisciplinary management, an experienced therapist can adopt different therapeutic exercises while the referring physician sets overall goals with specific precautions. The communication between interdisciplinary team members is key to a successful outcome.

PT should be considered if the patient shows persistent (more than 6 weeks) pain and dysfunction despite education and a self-directed home exercise program. It can also be extended if the patient is not ready to perform home exercise independently. It is important to remind the patient of the limited duration of physical therapy and importance of establishing an independent and self-directed home exercise program. It can also be useful to know several names of common therapeutic exercise interventions for LBP.

Common physical therapy protocols for LBP include stretching/flexibility/neurodynamic therapy, manual therapy, core muscle stabilization exercise, postural/balance exercise, direction-based therapeutic exercise, heating modalities and education/review of home exercise programs. Iliopsoas/rectus femoris stretching can be very useful if there is a significantly tight hip flexor leading to anterior pelvic rotation, which, in turn, promotes lumbar lordosis therefore aggravating symptoms of spinal stenosis and facet arthropathy. The reverse mechanism can also develop with significant hamstring tightness. Modifications of the hamstring tendon stretching can be used to promote sciatic nerve mobility such as with neurodynamic therapy (e.g., slump stretching exercise). Manual therapy includes lumbopelvic mobilization, muscle energy techniques, manipulation and occasionally traction. Direction-based therapeutic exercises such as McKenzie exercises are commonly used to address sciatic pain and to restore lumbar lordosis using extension, flexion and lateral directional movements depending on the individual patient. Williams exercises are used in patients with spinal stenosis emphasizing flexion-based movement such as pelvic tilt, knee to chest, partial sit up, hip flexor stretches and squats.
### Exercise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stretching and range of motion exercise</th>
<th>Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Trunk Rotation</strong></td>
<td>Do each exercise a few times initially to the goal of 5–10 repetitions, 2–3 times a day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Sciatic Nerve Gliding Exercise with Hamstring Stretching</strong></td>
<td>Stretch muscles slowly until you feel tight and hold for a few seconds, gradually increase to 15 to 30 seconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Sidelying Quadriceps Stretching</strong></td>
<td>For the sciatic nerve gliding exercise, slowly straighten the knee from No. 1 position until you feel tight in the hamstring or mild discomfort in the lower back, ultimately to No. 2 position with knee straight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Supine Pelvic Tilt</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strengthening and endurance exercise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leg raise</th>
<th>Abdominal curl and bridge exercise</th>
<th>Plank exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Straight Leg Raise (to the front)</strong></td>
<td>No need to lift head and buttocks all the way, just lift a few inches from the floor.</td>
<td>Keep the position 15 seconds (up to 30 seconds), 3–4 repetitions, 3–4 times a day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Straight Leg Raise (to the outside/side)</strong></td>
<td>Repeat to the goal of 10 repetitions, 3 sets each time, 3 times a day.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Prone Straight Leg Raise</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Abdominal (Ab) Curl (up)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Bridge Exercise</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Plank Exercise</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Illustration of commonly done home exercises for patients with low back pain. Source: Nevada Interprofessional Healthy Aging Network (NIHAN), ©2021 by NIHAN.25
Core stabilization exercises are also frequently utilized and include neuromuscular control exercises, strengthening exercises of specific muscles and dynamic stability exercises that are frequently underutilized. Some physical examinations (such as the prone instability test) can be useful to predict the response to core muscle stabilization exercises. Lower limb strengthening exercises can add to core muscle exercise and are shown to improve LBP and mobility. Postural rehabilitation through muscle strengthening, flexibility and stretching also has been shown to be an effective exercise for CLBP.

Complementary medicine such as massage, yoga and Pilates can be considered with some proven benefit for CLBP. Yoga can provide some improvement in back related pain and function, but it is uncertain if there is any significant improvement compared to other exercises. Pilates can also be considered as an adjunct treatment option using isometric contractions of the core muscles while moving or at rest.

In addition to these therapeutic interventions, if there are yellow flags present, it may be important to engage CBT early in management. CBT can decrease catastrophizing and increase self-efficacy for managing pain. Similarly, mindfulness-based intervention can increase pain acceptance and mindfulness.

Follow-Up Visit

On the follow-up visit, the physician should evaluate the interval changes in pain and functional level as reported by the patient (with or without using specific scales as described in the initial evaluation section above), the compliance and efficacy of the intervention from the first visit and any new symptoms. Non-pharmacological intervention, particularly therapeutic exercise, is typically associated with less compliance than pharmacological intervention as it places more burden on the patient.

If LBP is improving, the PCP can start transitioning or weaning off the pharmacological pain management while increasing physical activities. "Back schools," a combination of exercises and education often done during PT sessions, can be discussed with the patient. Evidence is limited for efficacy due to the low number of studies and widely varying protocols. It may be useful to some patients with psychological risks, as education in "back schools" has evolved over the years from negative messaging (strict restriction) to more positive messaging (what and how to do) to support psychological well-being.

If LBP is not improving despite initial pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, referral to a multidisciplinary pain service can be an option. Opioid medications (other than short term tramadol [≤ 7 days]) should only be considered in a multidisciplinary pain clinic if the aforementioned interventions failed to improve the pain. However, it is important to note that opioid analgesics have not been shown to improve functional outcomes of acute LBP and there is scarce evidence of efficacy in CLBP. In fact, long term use of opioid analgesics in CLBP can cause drug tolerance, emergence of drug induced hyperalgesia and an increase in medication-related adverse events including opioid use disorders such as addiction and overdose-related mortality.

Bracing, such as lumbosacral orthosis (LSO), can be considered in CLBP as an adjunct to improve physical activity. Different types of lumbosacral orthoses are available. These range from over-the-counter options including lumbosacral belts and lumbosacral corsets as well as rigid LSOs such as the William, Chair-back, or Warm and Foam LSOs, each of which requires a prescription. Bracing is not usually effective in preventing LBP and it remains unclear whether it is more effective than no or other intervention; therefore, utilization should be judicious in selected patients who failed other previously mentioned conservative treatments.

Conclusion

Conservative management can be effective in most patients with LBP. Understanding factors for unfavorable prognosis and atypical course can be useful in treatment planning. Conservative management options, including education, psychological intervention, different therapeutic exercises and bracing, eventually lead to an independent and self-directed home exercise program. Understanding the details of these conservative management options by the PCP
can lead to better utilization of these options.
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